COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1063-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 413

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 10, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1063-01 Bill No. HB 413 Page 2 of 5 March 10, 2003

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Water Patrol**, – **Missouri State Highway Patrol**, – **Division of Fire Safety**, – **Division of Liquor Control**, and the – **Capitol Police** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the costs of the proposed legislation could be absorbed by prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender** assume existing staff could provide representation for those cases arising where indigent persons were charged under this new resisting arrest statute. Passage of more than one bill increasing penalties on existing crimes or creating new crimes would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing indigent persons accused in the now more serious cases or in the new additional cases.

L.R. No. 1063-01 Bill No. HB 413 Page 3 of 5 March 10, 2003

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume this proposal expands the resisting arrest statute to include detentions or stops by fleeing in a manner that creates a substantial risk of serious physical injury or death. Penalty provisions, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class D felony.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the enhancement of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost either through incarceration (FY02 average of \$35.52 per inmate, per day or an annual cost of \$12,965 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY02 average of \$3.10 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,132 per offender).

The DOC does not anticipate the need for capital improvements at this time. It must be noted that the cumulative effect of various new legislation, if passed into law, could result in the need for additional capital improvements funding if the total number of new offenders exceeds current planned capacity.

The following factors contribute to DOC's minimal assumption:

- DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders;
- The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence; and
- The probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar but more serious offense or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

L.R. No. 1063-01 Bill No. HB 413 Page 4 of 5 March 10, 2003

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would revise the resisting arrest statute to include resisting detentions or stops by fleeing in a manner that creates a substantial risk of serious physical injury or death as a class D felony.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1063-01 Bill No. HB 413 Page 5 of 5 March 10, 2003

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Department of Public Safety

- Missouri State Highway Patrol
- Missouri State Water Patrol
- Capitol Police
- Division of Fire Safety
- Division of Liquor Control

Office of Prosecution Services Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 10, 2003